1 2 3 4	Gregory M. Fox, State Bar No. 070876 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: 415.353.0999 Facsimile: 415.353.0990		
5			
6	Randolph W. Hall, State Bar No. 080142 Chief Asst. City Attorney		
7	Office of the City Attorney One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor		
8	Oakland CA 94612 Telephone: 510.238.3601 Facsimile: 510.238.6500		
9			
10	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND and POLICE CHIEF RICHARD WORD		
11	war object official from the world		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	T(OKTIBER ( BISTIE		
	CDLLOUIGE COLEC at al	Cogo No. C 02 2062 TEH (II.)	
15	SRI LOUISE COLES, et al.	<b>Case No.</b> C -03-2962 TEH (JL)	
15 16	SRI LOUISE COLES, et al.  Plaintiffs	Case No. C -03-2962 TEH (JL)  Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)	
		Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
16	Plaintiffs )	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6,	
16 17	Plaintiffs  vs.	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL	
16 17 18	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,  Plaintiffs,	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,  Plaintiffs,	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  vs.	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND; et al.,	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal entity, et al.  Defendants  LOCAL 10, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  CITY OF OAKLAND; et al.,	Related Case No. C -03-2961 TEH (JL)  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2005 RE: FILING OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS	

28

## 

1	This Court's Order dated December 6, 2005 obligated counsel for the remaining plaintiffs in		
2	the Local 10 et. al. action, No. C -03-2962 TEH (JL), including Jack Heyman and Longshoremen		
3	Allen Chapman, Christopher Clay, Ernest Evans, Billy Kepo'o, Lawrence Massey, and Byron Moore		
4	Sr. as well as Local 10, International Longshore and Warehouse Union as well as Gregory M. Fox,		
5	counsel for defendants City of Oakland, et al. to meet and confer and filed by Monday December 12.		
6 7	2005 a stipulation and proposed order regarding which plaintiffs' claims against which individual		
8	defendants should be summarily adjudicated in Defendants' favor on the basis on plaintiffs' non-		
9			
	opposition. The parties have met and conferred and have scheduled intensive mediations of the		
10	remaining individual plaintiffs' cases for December 15 and 20 and additional dates as necessary in a		
11   12	good faith effort to resolve all of these remaining claims. Based on these ongoing mediations and		
13	settlement discussions the parties, acting by and through their respective counsel, request that the		
14	Court extend the date for filing the required stipulations for orders of dismissals until December 29,		
15	2005.		
16	So Stipulated:		
17	Dated: December 9, 2005		
18	/s/James B. Chanin		
19	JAMES B. CHANIN		
20	Attorney for Plaintiffs Heyman and Longshoremen		
21			
	Dated: December 9, 2005 /s/John L. Burris		
22	JOHN L. BURRIS		
23	Attorney for Plaintiffs Longshoremen		
24			
25	Dated: December 9, 2005 /s/Robert Remar		
26	ROBERT REMAR		
27	Attorney for Plaintiff Local 10		
28			

## 

1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Dated: December 9, 2005	/s/Gregory M. Fox
		GREGORY M. FOX
3		Attorney for Defendants
4		
5		
6	ORDER	
7		
8	Good cause appearing, the stipulation is so Ordered.	
9		. 4
10	Dated: December <u>12</u> , 2005	Helit of farmen
11		The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson
12		U.S. District Court Judge
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	I hereby attest that I have on file all k	nolograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
18	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated in a conformed signature (/s/) within this E-filed document.	
19	conjornica signature (757) within this 2 fite	a accument.
20	Dated: December 9, 2005	/s/Gregory M. Fox
21	11	GREGORY M. FOX
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		